

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,979	979 01/13/2004		Richard John Gann	7267-1	3714
22442	7590	07/29/2005		EXAM	INER
SHERIDAI		PC	REDMAN, JERRY E		
1560 BROA SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DENVER, (CO 80202	2	3634		
				D. WE	_

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/756,979	GANN, RICHARD JOHN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerry Redman	3634
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. The report of the r	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>13 January 2004</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		·
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-13</u> is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 14</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		` '
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<u> </u>		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	manda karra karra marakar d	,
1. Certified copies of the priority docur		nationalism Na
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	•	· ·
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But 	•	received in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received
occ the attached detailed office action for a	a list of the certified copies flot i	eceiveu.
Attachment(s)	"□ .	(DTO 440)
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 	4) Linterview Si Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/12/04. 		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Offi	ce Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050720

Application/Control Number: 10/756,979

Art Unit: 3634

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 9-13, drawn to a method of opening a window, classified in class
 49, subclass 506.

 Claims 1-8 and 14, drawn to a hung window, classified in class 49, subclass 123.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation such as a hung window including an upper and lower sash mounted within a frame which closes an opening within the frame and interconnected via a cable and the second mode of operation is a method of opening and closing an upper and lower window sash.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Johnson on 7/20/2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 1-8 and 14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-13 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The applicant's information disclosure statement dated 4/12/2004 has been considered and a copy has been placed in the file.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 7, 8, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dupuis et al. ('765). Dupuis et al. ('765) disclose a hung window comprising a frame (WF), an upper sash (22 or 26) mounted in the frame (WF) and slidable therein, a lower sash (20 or 24), mounted in the frame (WF) and slidable therein, a pair of horizontally spaced pulleys (32, 34 or 30, 36) mounted in the frame (WF) (column 5, lines 45-50, mounts pulleys on both sides of the window frame), and a cable (60 or 62) having a first end connected to the upper sash (22 or 26) and a second end connected to the lower sash (20 or 24) (column 4, lines 5-25) whereby the weights of the sashes (column 5, lines 65-68 and column 6, lines 1-5) allows one to move either sash and the opposite sash moves/travels the same distance.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dupuis et al. ('765) in view of Nagel ('681). All of the elements of the same invention are discussed in detail above except providing a support and a means to adjust the length of the cable. Nagel ('681) discloses a window assembly having a cable (42) connected to a bottom portion of an upper and lower sash via a support (38 and 38) and further having an adjusting means (41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide Dupuis et al. ('765) with a support and an adjusting means as taught by Nagel ('681) since a support and adjusting means allows one to fix the cable to the sash and the adjusting means allows one to adjust the length of the cable such that the window is properly fit within the opening of a frame.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. patent to Dahlstrom discloses a pulley system similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Robards discloses a window assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Evans discloses a window assembly having pulleys and connections similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Dallaire discloses a pulley and window assembly similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Cloutier et al. disclose a window pulley system similar to that of the applicant's invention. Great Britain patent to Gamble discloses a window pulley system similar to that of the applicant's invention. U.S. patent to Morris discloses a window assembly having a support and an adjustable means similar to that of the applicant's invention.

Art Unit: 3634

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jerry Redman at telephone number 571-272-6835.

Jerry Redman Primary Examiner